

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,913	12/18/2001	Robert A. Cordery	F-430	1303	
919	7590 · 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
PITNEY BO	PITNEY BOWES INC.			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
35 WATERV P.O. BOX 30	/IEW DRIVE 000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-22					
SHELTON, CT 06484-8000			DATE MAILED: 12/04/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim	_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Community	10/034,913	CORDERY ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lyle A Alexander	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a facilitate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawi	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is m	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies n c priority under 35 U.S. st sentence of the speci	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/034,913

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Stradley et al.(US 2003/0119175).

Stradley et al. teach a method and apparatus for testing mail pieces for particulate contamination. The mail is squeezed by opposing rollers(30) that forces out the air and particulates into chamber(13). Sensing apparatus(18) detects potential contaminants in the mail article. The screened uncontaminated mail is collected in tray(62). If contamination is detected the warning is sent over a monitoring network(100).

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Felice et al.

Felice et al. teaches a method and apparatus for screen mail in trays for hazardous vapors, such as anthrax or explosives. The mail is placed in container(12) and lid(14) is closed. A vacuum is drawn through port(16) and the resulting particulate is analyzed. Paragraph [0006] teaches the mail within the container is agitated and releases a plume of particulate that are within the individual pieces. The Office read

Application/Control Number: 10/034,913

Art Unit: 1743

agitating as meaning to move with sudden force, which has the effect of taking the article from a state of rest to a state of motion and then deceleration to a state of rest again. The taught agitation has been read on the claimed deceleration of the mail tray to release the particles within the mail. The claimed stop member has been read on the walls of the container(12) or the other articles of mail within the container where the agitation occurs that releases the particulate contents.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Berger teaches a method and apparatus for the detection of hazardous vapor levels of nuclear, biological or chemical agents.

Gitis et al. is not available as prior art, but does teach a method and apparatus for the removal of particulate from envelopes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/034,913

Art Unit: 1743

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743